Maximizing Value:



Through Rebate Management

Internal Audit Best Practices in Healthcare



Table of Contents

1.	Executive Summary	.3
2.	Introduction: The Auditor's Strategic Opportunity	.3
3.	Rebated Contracts in Healthcare	.3
4.	Core Objectives of Effective Rebate Management	.4
5.	Internal Audit's Role in Rebate Oversight	.8
6.	Regulatory Compliance: Medicare Cost Reporting Requirements	.9
7.	References	12
8.	Appendix (Case Studies)	13
9.	Auditor's Checklist	17

Executive Summary

In today's complex healthcare landscape, rebate programs tied to purchasing contracts represent a significant opportunity and challenge for cost savings. Without structured oversight and internal audit involvement, hospitals risk losing millions annually due to poor rebate tracking, including but not limited to missed compliance deadlines/forms, and inadequate reconciliation/auditing.

This white paper provides internal audit professionals with a comprehensive guide to evaluating, auditing, and improving rebate management processes within healthcare systems. Through case studies and narrative examples, we illustrate how lapses in rebate management can have real consequences and what auditors should prioritize to safeguard financial integrity.

Introduction: The Auditor's Strategic Opportunity

Rebates are increasingly a core feature of healthcare pricing and incentive programs, whether tied to Group Purchasing Organization (GPO) agreements or locally negotiated contracts. These savings, built on aggregated purchasing power, materialize only when hospitals consistently route spending through the right contracts and rigorously track and reconcile every rebate. Without robust oversight, organizations can miss contractual targets and fall short of savings goals (Burns & Lee, 2008). Therefore, internal auditors are essential: they can help uncover process gaps, evaluate control effectiveness, and verify compliance with financial, legal, and clinical obligations.

Rebated Contracts in Healthcare

While many organizations chase upfront cost savings, manufacturers have a different agenda: protecting their average selling price (ASP). To achieve this, they offer incentive programs that link pricing benefits to specific purchasing commitments. Much like bulk discounts or "spend more, save more" deals, rebates encourage product adoption and can deliver substantial savings when managed properly. These rebates typically hinge on spend thresholds, market-share targets, or utilization metrics, and can apply to everything from routine supplies to high-value items across multiple service lines—orthopedics, peripheral vascular, cardiovascular, spine, and beyond.

Historically, hospitals prioritized "pay-at-the-pump" deals—agreeing to shift volume in exchange for rebates—but often failed to meet targets when physicians didn't embrace new contracting requirements. Upfront concessions appeal to hospital negotiators because they're easy to track and lock in a final price for comparison. However, after too many unfulfilled promises, vendors now demand commitments tied to specific price points and link rebate eligibility to clear, measurable performance thresholds. This shift has created a contracting environment so complex that specialized tools and processes are necessary to manage it.

In medical-device agreements, every change in utilization must be backed by physician buy-in to protect the vendor's ASP and ensure mutual success. Today's rebate contracts layer on multi-tier thresholds, cross-specialty carve-outs, staggered timelines, and dozens of interdependent data feeds. Without robust automation and centralized oversight, effectively monitoring usage, tracking compliance, triggering performance alerts, analyzing trends, and reconciling payments is nearly

Effective rebate management hinges on three core objectives: capturing and allocating every earned rebate accurately to the correct general-ledger (GL) account; reconciling with the vendor to verify that rebate payments match contracted amounts; and optimizing future rebates by leveraging weekly purchasing data to spot emerging trends. The goal is to be able to engage clinical teams early (when appropriate) and steer spend toward the contracts offering the highest incentive returns.

Achieving all three goals demands more than occasional reviews. The hospital auditor must confirm that each responsible team tracks usage weekly to keep contract thresholds in sight, submits compliance documents on time so rebate forms never miss a deadline, performs mid-period checks to catch shortfalls before a quarter or rebate term closes, maintains live visibility into utilization trends through up-to-date dashboards, and rigorously verifies payments with GL reconciliation to ensure funds are received and booked accurately (see Exhibit A).



To achieve the proper level of accuracy in rebate management, a hospital must begin by dissecting its rebate workflow—clarifying ownership, mapping information flows, and spotlighting any gaps. We define these processes as follows:

Reconciliation

Optimization

• Ownership: Who maintains the data and ensures it stays accurate?

Rebates

- **Information Flow:** How is rebate intelligence shared with supply-chain, finance, and clinical teams?
- Process Gaps: Where do hand-offs or blind spots put rebates at risk? Is the data manageable?

Auditors must evaluate whether the organization's rebate-tracking tools—and the interoperability of the data that feeds them—are truly fit for purpose. In many health systems, these "tools" are nothing more than scattered spreadsheets. Although merging purchasing, contract, and payment data sounds straightforward, most hospital IT environments were never designed for seamless cross-platform reconciliation. Four structural hurdles typically stand in the way (see exhibit B).

Exhibit B

	Structural Hurdle	Why it Matters	Impact
	Siloed Data	No single system contains all rebate reconciliation information	Fragmented information difficult to reconcile with vendor
×	Non-Standard Product Identifiers	No automated matching, manual audits	High reconciliation effort high & over-reliance on vendor
	Manual Spreadsheets (no ETL pipelines or alerts)	Incapable of maintaining process at scale, reactive, error prone	Slow reaction times, duplicated work, missed opportunities,
P	Limited IT Integration	Data interoperability is impaired	Burnt out teams incapable of managing process

When spreadsheet-based processes falter; reaction times slow, data becomes fragmented, work is duplicated, and valuable rebates are lost—dragging down margins. Weak internal capabilities also force the institution to depend on vendors for performance metrics, creating additional headaches around data alignment, staff burden, and accountability. While reviewing your organizations status you should be able to answer 4 basic questions at anytime (see exhibit C).

Basic Rebate Management Questions



What opportunity costs come with favoring one vented over another? What is the total value proposition?



How many rebates programs are active?



What do we expect to earn this quarter and year?



Which contracts are compliant vs at risk?

Even with well-structured teams and documented processes, rebate management can feel overwhelming. A single hospital system may deal with hundreds—sometimes thousands—of vendors, and static spreadsheets simply can't run machine-learning checks or algorithmic validations to keep data accurate or confirm that performance calculations align with every vendor's rules. During the COVID-19 era, healthcare supply chains were pushed to the breaking point, revealing critical gaps in visibility, coordination, and real-time decision—making. Al-driven tools emerged as a vital solution—not just for tracking inventory or predicting usage trends, but also for automating complex administrative processes like rebate reconciliation and contract compliance. By replacing manual workflows with intelligent systems, health care systems can simplify operations, reduce costs, and extract real-time insights from fragmented data sources—transforming supply chain management from reactive to strategic (Yadav, 2023).

Surgical, medical, and pharmaceutical spend accounts for nearly 25%-35% of a health care system's operating expenses. Significant savings opportunities exist—but only if health care systems have the capacity to navigate the web of vendor pricing matrices, tier-based discounts, rebates, and compliance thresholds. Unfortunately, vendor pricing is often intentionally opaque, making manual optimization nearly impossible (AHA, 2024).

Yet studies show that streamlining procurement practices can reduce spend by as much as 17%, particularly when driven by artificial intelligence. An Al solution can analyze purchase order histories from an Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system, compare products based on both cost and clinical outcomes, and recommend optimal alternatives aligned with clinical quality and financial performance. Al can also review vendor contracts for hidden limitations and, once product substitutions are approved, automatically update the ERP system (Olive Al, 2021).

The tracking complexity intensifies when contracts fail to clarify which SKUs qualify for rebates. A single contract may list thousands of products, but only a subset—or items from related specialties—may actually count toward incentive thresholds. Accurately tracking this requires sophisticated data cleansing, real-time reconciliation, and automated mapping. When multi-tier rebate structures span clinical service lines, and performance must be reported at both system-wide and affiliate levels, manual tools quickly collapse under the weight of complexity.

Without a clean, consistently categorized data set that aligns with vendor definitions, even well-managed rebate programs can fall short—missing thresholds and forfeiting earned savings (see Exhibit D).

Documentation failures add another layer of risk. One hospital lost years of rebate history during an IT migration due to the absence of a centralized repository or backups, making retrospective audits impossible and undermining trust in financial records. At another facility, misapplied credit memos distorted departmental budgets, creating confusion across both clinical and administrative teams. And in a five-hospital system, a \$220,000 cardiology rebate was lost entirely when the only staff member managing rebate compliance went on maternity leave—with no cross-trained backup in place to meet the submission deadline.

These stories underscore a simple truth: without proactive, automated rebate management —and robust continuity plans—hospitals risk leaving money on the table, misallocating budgets, and compromising financial integrity.

Exhibit D					
	Auditor's Rebate Checklist				
✓	Real-Time Usage Tracking				
\checkmark	On-Time Compliance Submissions				
\checkmark	Quarterly Audit & Reconciliation				
<	Payment Verification & Allocation				

Internal Audit's Role in Rebate Oversight

Internal auditors play a vital role in ensuring healthcare organizations fully realize the financial benefits of rebate programs. Their first responsibility is to evaluate internal controls. This includes determining whether systems are in place to track purchases, monitor rebate thresholds, and trigger alerts when rebates become due. Without such controls, organizations risk missing out on substantial financial returns.

A robust audit of compliance and documentation is critical. Auditors should confirm that all rebate forms are completed and submitted on time, that supporting documentation clearly proves eligibility, and that all rebate-related communications and approvals are properly archived. In high-value service lines, missing a single rebate can cost the organization millions—depending on contract terms. A lack of structured processes and proactive oversight can significantly reduce profitability.

Auditors must also verify that rebate payments match what's expected based on actual product utilization. Any discrepancies may point to internal reporting errors or vendor disbursement issues.

Beyond this, auditors should examine how rebates are recorded and applied. Credit memos must be accurately reflected in the general ledger, with funds appropriately allocated to the correct departments. Misapplied credits can distort budgets and mislead decision-makers.

Finally, internal audits must move beyond retrospective review. A forward-looking approach that includes risk identification and continuous monitoring can help uncover recurring weaknesses and areas needing systemic improvement. Increasingly, auditors are also tasked with evaluating whether the organization leverages technology and automation tools to reduce human error, ensure timeliness, and streamline rebate management workflows (see exhibit E).



Common Risks in Rebate Management



Lack of Real-Time Tracking

Manual spreadsheets result in outdated or incomplete data



Missed Compliance Deadlines

Failure to submit required rebate forms on time



Insufficient Documentation

Data loss during system migration No centralized storage



Complex Contract Structures

Many moving parts: multi-tiered, cross specialty, affiliate dependent tracking



Discrepancies in Rebate Reconciliation

Inability to align with vendor, credit processing issues & no ability to correctly audit

Regulatory Compliance: Medicare Cost Reporting Requirements

While rebate optimization focuses heavily on maximizing savings and streamlining internal processes, federal reporting requirements add another layer of responsibility—particularly when it comes to Medicare. When rebates are received by a provider—whether directly from a manufacturer or via a group purchasing organization (GPO)—they must be reported as income on the Medicare cost report. This is not optional. Rebates are considered part of the financial data that providers are required to submit annually to CMS, and failing to do so may expose the organization to audit risk or penalties (see exhibit F).

Reporting Requirements

Mandatory Disclosure



All rebates received must be listed on the Medicare cost report, regardless of the source. This includes those tied to contracts, GPO participation, or vendor-specific performance.



Impact on Reimbursement

Rebates are treated as a revenue offset and can influence the organization's overall Medicare reimbursement calculation.

Submission Process



Cost reports must be filed electronically through the Medicare Cost Report e-Filing system (MCReF) and submitted to the organization's Medicare Administrative Contractor (MAC).



Audit/Compliance Implications

Providers must retain accurate documentation of rebate sources, amounts, and calculation methodologies. A well-organized audit trail protects against compliance errors and supports cost report accuracy.

CMS Scrutiny



Improper or inconsistent reporting of rebates can trigger CMS audits, particularly if rebate income materially affects the cost structure.

Part B Drug Rebates

Special considerations exist for **Medicare Part B prescription drug rebates**, where manufacturers may owe rebates back to Medicare when prices exceed inflation thresholds. Hospitals involved in outpatient infusion or oncology services should be especially vigilant in this area.

Effective rebate tracking starts with clear contract language that states exactly which transaction milestone triggers accrual—the purchase order date, the invoice date, or some other event (e.g., goods-receipt in an ERP system). When that trigger isn't up front, several risks cascade:

- 1. **Reconciliation headaches** Accounts payable may post rebates based on invoice dates while supply-chain analytics pull purchase-order dates, forcing staff to sift through mismatched time frames every quarter just to verify eligibility.
- Defaulting to the vendor's calculation Because a retroactive true-up can require line-level reconciliation across hundreds of SKUs and multiple data sources, hospitals often cede the calculation to the manufacturer—essentially "trusting but not verifying" rebate amounts.
- 3. **Cumulative data debt** Each unclear contract adds to a backlog of historic transactions that must eventually be normalized, mapped, and audited—a task that becomes exponentially harder when data lives in isolated spreadsheets or departmental silos.

To avoid this trap, build alignment at the outset:

- Define the trigger event in writing. Negotiate a simple clause such as "rebate eligibility shall be determined using the invoice issue date recorded in vendor's ERP and mirrored in the hospital's AP ledger."
- Map data fields jointly. During onboarding, create a shared data dictionary that ties vendor fields (e.g., SKU, unit price, ship date) to hospital fields and agrees on which system owns the "source of truth" for each.
- Centralize and automate. Feed both PO and invoice data into a single rebate-management platform that performs automated date-logic checks, flags discrepancies, and produces a provisional accrual report both parties can review monthly.
- Establish a dispute-resolution timeline. Write in a 30- or 45-day window after each accrual period for either party to challenge quantities, pricing, or dates before the rebate is finalized.

Conclusion

With the right guardrails in place, reconciliation shifts from a labor-intensive audit chore to an automated, system-driven validation process. This safeguards from silently forfeiting earned rebates while ensuring hospitals receive the precise payments or credits outlined in each contract. Healthcare rebate programs can unlock significant financial value—but only when they are rigorously tracked, independently verified, and proactively managed. By applying the case studies, narrative insights, and best practices outlined here, internal auditors can strengthen financial transparency, reduce compliance risk, and guarantee that hospitals capture every dollar they deserve.

References

Burns, L. R., & Lee, J. A. (2008). Hospital purchasing alliances: Utilization, services, and performance. Health Care Management Review, 33(3), 203–215.

American Hospital Association. (2024, April 28). 2024 Costs of Caring: Challenges Facing America's Hospitals

Yadav, S. (2023). Leveraging the potential of artificial intelligence in healthcare supply chain management. In Artificial Intelligence for Smart Healthcare (pp. 117–135).

Olive Al. (2021). 5 ways Al and automation are optimizing healthcare supply chains in 2021.

Appendix

Case Studies

Case Study 1: Risks and Vulnerabilities in Rebate Management

Scenario:

A healthcare system experienced a significant internal control issue concerning rebates. Historically, rebate checks were sent directly to individual hospital locations without a centralized tracking process. One vendor's employee exploited this vulnerability by converting stale checks, initially sent by mail, into electronic payments and subsequently diverting these funds into a personal account.

Detailed Incident:

Due to the decentralized management of rebates, reconciliation processes were not standardized or timely, leaving significant gaps in oversight. The fraudulent activity involved altering physical rebate checks to electronic transfers to overseas accounts. The vendor initially suspected internal hospital staff due to the unusual nature of the payments. Upon further investigation initiated by the hospital's inquiry into missing rebates, it became clear that the fraud was executed by the vendor's employee, not hospital staff.

Consequences and Discovery:

The misappropriation was uncovered when the healthcare organization's finance team flagged discrepancies between expected and actual rebate amounts. The vendor initially denied responsibility, suggesting the fault lay internally. A deeper forensic review later revealed the fraud source as a vendor employee diverting funds.

Insights and Lessons Learned:

- Centralization and Standardization: Implementing centralized processing and oversight of rebates is crucial for detecting discrepancies and preventing misappropriation.
- Real-time Reconciliation: Immediate and regular reconciliation of rebate payments can significantly reduce opportunities for fraud.
- Segregation of Duties: Ensuring the segregation of duties within rebate handling processes prevents internal misuse or undetected external fraud.
- Audit and Compliance: Regular audits and structured compliance processes strengthen internal control tests, increasing vendor accountability and reducing financial risk.

Recommendations for Healthcare Leaders:

- Establish centralized management and monitoring for rebate transactions.
- Implement advanced tracking technologies to allow real-time reconciliation.
- Regularly engage with vendors to align on compliance expectations and clarify responsibilities.
- Strengthen internal controls with clear segregation of duties, ensuring accountability and transparency in financial transactions.
- Incorporating these best practices significantly mitigates risks associated with rebate management, ensuring financial integrity and operational efficiency.

Case Study 2: Missing Compliance Forms Leading to Lost Rebates

Scenario:

A healthcare system exceeded their rebate target threshold. However, due to staff turnover, critical compliance forms confirming rebate eligibility were not submitted within the required timeframe.

Detailed Incident:

The compliance oversight occurred during a transition period when a staff member responsible for managing rebates left the organization. The absence of a robust, automated tracking system resulted in the healthcare system forfeited all rebate payments for that fiscal year despite meeting the purchase thresholds.

Consequences and Discovery:

The incident was discovered during a financial audit when expected rebate funds were not reflected in the organization's revenue stream. Investigation pinpointed administrative lapses rather than non-compliance with contract terms as the root cause.

Insights and Lessons Learned:

- Automated Systems: Implement automation in rebate tracking to prevent missed submissions due to personnel changes or administrative oversight.
- Training and Transition Planning: Ensure adequate training and transition procedures are in place for critical compliance roles.
- Regular Audits: Conduct quarterly internal audits to identify compliance gaps proactively.

Recommendations:

- Deploy automated compliance management software.
- Enhance transition protocols and staff training on rebate management processes.
- Schedule routine compliance checks to ensure timely submissions.

Case Study 3: Credit Memo Not Applied Correctly

Scenario:

A healthcare organization received significant credit memos from vendors, meant to adjust overpayments. However, these credit memos were incorrectly documented, leading to inaccuracies in financial reporting and accounts payable balances.

Detailed Incident:

Credit memos were received but not correctly applied in the organization's accounting system. This misapplication resulted in overstated financial commitments and underreported credit balances.

Consequences and Discovery:

The errors surfaced during an external financial audit, highlighting discrepancies in financial statements and actual liabilities. This misapplication necessitated extensive reconciliation efforts, impacting administrative resources and financial credibility.

Insights and Lessons Learned:

- Standardized Processes: Implement a consistent method for processing and tracking credit memos.
- System Integration: Ensure integration between purchasing, accounts payable, and financial reporting systems for accurate real-time adjustments.
- Staff Accountability: Clearly define roles and responsibilities for handling and applying credit memos.

Recommendations:

- Standardize and document procedures for handling credit memos across the organization.
- · Integrate financial management systems to enable accurate real-time credit memo tracking.
- Train finance and accounts payable teams thoroughly to ensure compliance with standardized processes.

About the Authors

Brad Wright is a Project Manager at The Audit Group (TAG) and lives with his wife and two kids in Chicago, IL. He has degrees in Accounting B.A., Finance B.A., and an MBA from the University of Central Missouri. He has been with TAG for over 12 years and specializes in delivering cost savings and comprehensive consulting in the P2P space. In his career he has delivered over \$75M in cost savings for his clients. He is an expert in assessing the functionality of returned goods processes and ERP implementations in the healthcare space. In his spare time he enjoys golfing and spending time with family and friends.

Rodney Erb is a seasoned healthcare professional with over 30 years of experience, blending his foundation as a Registered Nurse and expertise in medical device sales. Committed to reducing healthcare costs, As an adjunct lecturer, he teaches Health Care Negotiations at Columbia University, focusing on trust, transparency, and achieving sustainable outcomes. He holds a Bachelor of Science in Nursing, an MBA from Norwich University, Executive Certificates in Advanced Negotiations from the University of Notre Dame, and a Master of Science in Negotiation and Conflict Resolution from Columbia University.

Auditor's Checklist

Rebate Audit Checklist	Key Points
1. Program Identification	 All active rebate agreements are identified (GPO, local, vendor direct) Thresholds, tiers, eligible SKUs, and utilization metrics are documented Trigger events (e.g., invoice date vs. PO date) are clearly defined in contracts
2. Ownership & Workflow	 Clear ownership assigned for data accuracy and process oversight Rebate intelligence flows to Supply Chain, Finance, Clinical teams Workflow is mapped with handoff points and blind spots identified
3. Tools & Data Integration	 Tracking system other than spreadsheets Data feeds are integrated across ERP, AP, MMIS, contract portals A centralized repository or rebate platform is in place
 4. Threshold & Compliance Monitoring 	 Automated weekly/monthly performance reviews occur for each contract Compliance submissions are tracked and submitted on time Alerts are in place for missed thresholds or documentation gaps
5. Vendor Reconciliation	 Rebate payments match actual utilization data Vendor-provided reports are verified, not accepted at face value Credit memos are properly applied and reconciled in GL
6. Documentation & Controls	 Documentation is centralized and audit-ready Backups and continuity plans exist for staff transitions or IT issues Duties are segregated to reduce fraud and ensure accountability
 7. Medicare Reporting Compliance 	 All rebates reported as income on Medicare cost reports Rebate details meet CMS documentation standards and audit readiness
8. Automation & Optimization	 Al or automation is used for accruals, reconciliation, and optimization Contract terms and SKUs are mapped in system logic for real-time monitoring
9. Risk Mitigation Practices	Dispute resolution timelines are built into contracts Credit application errors are avoided through standardized workflows
10. Continuous Improvement	Scheduled internal audits assess compliance and savings performance Staff training and onboarding plans are in place for rebate roles



The Association of Healthcare Internal Auditors (AHIA) is a network of experienced healthcare internal auditing professionals who come together to share tools, knowledge, and insight on how to assess and evaluate risk within a complex and dynamic healthcare environment. AHIA is an advocate for the profession, continuing to elevate and champion the strategic importance of healthcare internal auditors with executive management and the Board. If you have a stake in healthcare governance,

risk management and internal controls, AHIA is your one-stop resource. Explore our website for more information. If you are not a member, please join our network, www.ahia.org. AHIA white papers provide healthcare internal audit practitioners with non-mandatory professional guidance on important topics. By providing healthcare specific information and education, white papers can help practitioners evaluate risks, develop priorities, and design audit approaches. It is meant to help readers understand an issue, solve a problem, or make a decision. AHIA welcomes papers aimed at beginner to expert level practitioners. This includes original content clearly related to healthcare internal auditing that does not promote commercial products or services. Interested? Contact a member of the AHIA White Paper Subcommittee.

Subcommittee:

Valerie Mattas, White Paper Chair Sharp Healthcare valerie.mattas@sharp.com

Alan Henton, White Paper Vice Chair Vanderbilt University Medical Center <u>alan.p.henton@vumc.org</u>

Debi Weatherford Piedmont Healthcare <u>debi.weatherford@piedmont.org</u>

Laura L. Sak-Castellano Advocate Aurora Health Laura.Sak-Castellano@aah.org

Deborah Pazourek, AHIA Board Liaison MedStarHealth Deborah.L.Pazourek@medstar.net